

Assessment of microplastic contamination in the Loire River (France) throughout analysis of different biotic and abiotic freshwater matrices

Alice Vidal, Ngoc-Nam Phuong, Isabelle Métais, Johnny Gasperi, Amélie

Châtel

▶ To cite this version:

Alice Vidal, Ngoc-Nam Phuong, Isabelle Métais, Johnny Gasperi, Amélie Châtel. Assessment of microplastic contamination in the Loire River (France) throughout analysis of different biotic and abiotic freshwater matrices. Environmental Pollution, 2023, 334, pp.122167. 10.1016/j.envpol.2023.122167. hal-04161479

HAL Id: hal-04161479 https://univ-eiffel.hal.science/hal-04161479v1

Submitted on 22 Aug 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. 1 Assessment of microplastic contamination in the Loire River (France) throughout

2 analysis of different biotic and abiotic freshwater matrices

³ Alice Vidal^{*1}, Ngoc-nam Phuong², Isabelle Métais¹, Johnny Gasperi², Amélie Châtel¹

4 ¹Laboratoire BIOSSE, Université Catholique de l'Ouest (UCO), 3 Place André Leroy, 49100, Angers, France.

²Laboratoire Eau et Environnement, Université Gustave Eiffel, Allée des Ponts et Chaussées, 44340 Bouguenais,
 France.

7 *Corresponding author: Alice Vidal, avidal@uco.fr, +33241816751

8 Abstract

9 The contamination of microplastics (MP) in freshwater environments represent an important way for the MP transport in the environment. The assessment of MP pollution in freshwater compartments is 10 11 then important to visualize the pressure and the impacts on medium, and to set up necessary measures. 12 In this context, this study focused on the influence of anthropogenic activities of a medium French city (Angers) on MP levels in samples collected from the Loire River, the longest river in France. Abiotic and 13 14 biotic matrices were collected upstream and downstream Angers. A first analysis was performed based 15 on microscopy to determine the size, colour and shape of suspected MP and a complementary analysis by μ -FTIR (micro-Fourier Transform InfraRed) was conducted to determine the composition of plastic 16 17 particles. Three organisms belonging to different trophic levels were studied: when the MP level was 18 expressed per individual, the lowest abundance of MP was found in Tubifex sp. followed by Corbicula 19 fluminea, while the highest was measured in Anguilla anguilla. To establish the relationship with their 20 habitat, the presence of MP in sediment and water was also analysed. Therefore, this works constitutes 21 a complete overview of the MP levels in freshwater abiotic and biotic matrices. Overall, the presence of 22 MP in analysed samples did not follow a particular pattern, neither in the sites nor matrices: the characteristics depending on a multifactorial outcome (feeding mode, organism size...). However, 23 24 correlation of MP pattern between clams and sediment was quite evident, while the one between worms 25 and their habitat was not. This demonstrates the relevance of investigating plastic contamination both in 26 biotic and abiotic matrices. Finally, a standardisation of sampling and analytical analysis protocols would 27 be helpful to make comparisons between studies more robust.

28 Keywords

29 Microplastics; Freshwater; Environmental abundance; Bioindication; µ-FTIR; Microscopy

30 Introduction

Plastic pollution in aquatic ecosystems is now a well-known issue. For the last decade, plastic pollution has become a major environmental concern due to its increasing production, which has reached 367 million tons worldwide (PlasticsEurope, 2021). Among these plastics, many are single-used and immediately discarded, most frequently improperly. Some reach the terrestrial environment and 35 ultimately end up in aquatic environments (Oliveira et al. 2019; Ferreira et al. 2019). Globally, it is 36 estimated that between 70 and 80% of plastics in the oceans come from land-based sources (Duis and 37 Coors, 2016; Alimi et al. 2018). Once they reach the aquatic compartment, plastics can be fragmented 38 into smaller sizes by photodegradation (UV radiation), weathering and physical abrasion (e.g., wind and 39 wave action), and biotic factors (e.g., microorganisms' action). Nowadays, microplastics (MP), plastic 40 particles < 5 mm, represent a current and a global environmental concern. Indeed, numerous scientific 41 studies have reported that MP are increasingly observed in all compartments of most aquatic ecosystems 42 around the world, even in Arctic and Antarctic Oceans (Lusher et al. 2015; Waller et al. 2017; Huntington 43 et al. 2020). MP can be found as suspended particles in the water column, in sediments and in wastewater (Habib et al. 2020; Bayo et al. 2020; Frias et al. 2020; Dusaucy et al. 2021; Uddin et al. 2021). In addition 44 45 to being found in abiotic compartments, MP have been demonstrated to be ingested by a wide variety of 46 aquatic biota, in both marine and freshwater organisms, from microalgae to large mammals (Farrell et al. 47 2013; Santana et al. 2017; Qu et al. 2020), and consequences are multiple: MP retention in the gut causing 48 blockages, reducing nutrient absorption (Cole et al. 2015; Lu et al. 2016; Welden and Cowie, 2016) or MP 49 translocation to other tissues (Browne et al. 2008; Brennecke et al. 2015).

50 Today, studies having reported data on MP levels in sediments, water and organisms are more 51 numerous in the marine compartment than in freshwater systems, since the investigation on the MP 52 contamination in freshwater ecosystems is more recent. Data on their level and composition, and 53 information on MP interaction with the freshwater biota are then still scarce. However, freshwater 54 environments represent an important pathway for the transport of MP (Li et al. 2018; Wagner et al. 2014). 55 Since they are more susceptible to anthropogenic impacts, they usually contain higher MP amount with 56 a more diverse plastic composition (Scherer et al. 2018). Therefore, the assessment of MP pollution in 57 freshwater compartments is of crucial importance to set up necessary measures. Indeed, some rivers may 58 crosse a multitude of cities, some of which using water as a source of drinking water. It is the case for the 59 Loire River, the longest French river, representing an important societal and economic interest for the 60 country. As anthropic activities in cities generate pollution ending up nearby the Loire River, it would be helpful to characterise its contamination to implement adequate policy regulations, and preserve the 61 62 large number of plant and animal species living on the banks or in the bed of the Loire (Wantzen et al. 2023). For the moment, to our knowledge, no study reporting the level of MP in living organisms of the 63 64 Loire River was published and studies reporting MP levels in sediments and water of the Loire are still 65 limited.

The current study investigated for the first time the level of the MP contamination in three aquatic
organisms, around Angers, one of the major cities along the Loire. Tubifex (*Tubifex sp.* (Müller, 1774)),
Corbicula (*Corbicula fluminea* (Müller, 1774)) and eel (*Anguilla anguilla* (Linnaeus, 1758)) were used as
model organisms due to their dominant stock in the Loire River and to their position at different trophic

70 levels. Tubifex worms are one of the most abundant invertebrates in freshwater compartments and 71 represent primary consumers in the food chain of their ecosystem. Tubifex tubifex has been widely used 72 to assess the toxicity and the bioaccumulation of some pollutants, especially metals (Lagauzère et al. 73 2009; Mosleh et al. 2006) making it a suitable species for sediment toxicity tests (Chapman et al. 2001). 74 To date, however, only Hurley et al. (2017) reported data on MP accumulation in *Tubifex sp.*. This is even, 75 to our knowledge, the only in situ study that has been published on MP in freshwater worms. Among 76 invertebrates, bivalves are considered, for years, as useful organisms for indicating levels of different 77 pollutants in the environment (Boening, 1999). Literature on MP contamination of freshwater bivalves is 78 more extensive than that on worms, but remains still sparse compared to the publication on marine 79 bivalves. Indeed, Sendra et al. (2021) reported 56 studies dealing with marine bivalves versus 13 studies 80 dealing with freshwater bivalves, of which 6 used Corbicula fluminea as their model species, the rest (Unio 81 pictorum, Perna canaliculus, Dreissena burgensis, Elliptio complanate and Dreissena polymorpha) counted 82 for either 1 or 2 works. In addition, this species, as an active filter-feeder, is considered as an useful 83 bioindicator to assess the anthropic pollution in aquatic compartments (Su et al. 2018). For now, field 84 studies on the MP ingestion by freshwater invertebrates are limited, even though these organisms are a key entry point into the food chain. So far, studies on freshwater MP contamination have focused on fish 85 86 (Peters et al. 2016; Jabeen et al. 2017; Biginagwa et al. 2016). The main reason is that fish is commonly 87 and frequently consumed by humans. Even if visceral mass and gills of fish are removed before 88 consumption, small size MP may enter edible tissues (Atamanalp et al. 2021; Guilhermino et al. 2021), 89 which may pose a health risk to consumers. In addition to being consumed by humans, the European eel, 90 A. anguilla, is a critically endangered fish according to the International Union for Nature Conservation 91 (IUNC, 2022). Population decline has been demonstrated (Dekker et al. 2007; Andersson et al. 2012; Aalto 92 et al. 2016) and linked to environmental pollution (Jacoby et al. 2015; Drouineau et al. 2018). Regarding 93 the importance of pollution in the decline of the European eel, MP are surely an additional stressor for 94 this species (Menéndez et al. 2022).

95 The first aim of this study was to investigate the MP contamination in abiotic and biotic matrices. In 96 this context, the shape of MP, the colour, the size and the composition were characterised in sediments, 97 water, viscera of A. anguilla, whole body of C. fluminea and Tubifex sp.. In the literature, two methods are 98 generally employed: microscopy and micro-Fourier Transform InfraRed (μ -FTIR) analysis. Imaging μ -FTIR 99 does not distinguish the colours of particles neither the shapes, like fibers, while an observation by 100 microscopy does not determine the polymer composition. In order to perform a global analysis of the 101 plastic particles profile in samples, both complementary methods were used. Thus, we were able to 102 provide points of comparison between these two approaches. The second objective was to compare MP 103 levels in all the samples (sediment, water and organisms) collected upstream and downstream Angers, to 104 evaluate the influence of human activities on the plastic pollution. The final goal was to determine the 105 correlations between MP ingested by the organisms and MP found in the abiotic matrices, corresponding 106 to the habitats of the analysed species. Most of studies focused on MP levels either on abiotic matrices 107 or biotic matrices, generally on one or two species. Therefore, this study provides less spatial and 108 temporal resolution on *in situ* MP levels than some studies but gives an overview of the MP contamination 109 in freshwater abiotic and biotic matrices at three species belonging to different trophic levels, which 110 constitutes its originality. All of these results will be used to suggest protocols for monitoring microplastic 111 contamination of freshwater streams and provide data to implement public policies for environmental 112 risk management.

113 Materials and method

114 Study sites

The Loire River is the longest French river, with a length of 1 006 km. This stream rises in the 115 116 south-eastern quarter of the French Massif Central at an altitude of 1 350 meters until the Bay of Saint 117 Nazaire, Atlantic Ocean. The watershed covers a total area of 155 000 km², equivalent to 22% of French 118 territory (Managing Rivers Wisely, WWF). The Loire basin has more than 11.5 million inhabitants, 119 markedly rural, with more than a third of communities having fewer than 400 inhabitants (Managing 120 Rivers Wisely, WWF). Moreover, a large number of plant and animal species live on the banks or in the 121 bed of the Loire (Wantzen et al. 2023). The French Biodiversity Agency (OFB) and the National Inventory 122 of Natural Heritage (INPN) listed some of these species, such as the Schoenoplectus triqueter plant, 123 various amphibians, birds such as the kingfisher, and mammals such as the beaver, as protected and 124 sometimes threatened species. As human activities in Angers agglomeration (155 850 inhabitants in 2019, 125 INSEE) may generate plastic pollution ending up in nearby freshwater streams, two sites were selected to 126 study MP contamination from anthropic sources near Angers city along the Loire River: one upstream the 127 town, at La Daguenière (47°23'11"NO°5O'48"W) and one downstream, at Montjean-sur-Loire (47°23′34″NO°51'58''W) (Fig.1). 128

129

Sampling of organisms (biotic matrices)

For this study, three species were analysed: Tubifex sp., Corbicula fluminea and Anguilla anguilla. 130 131 Tubifex sp. and C. fluminea were sampled in May 2022, in both sites. Tubifex worms, deposit feeders, 132 occupy the uppermost layers of sediment and live partially submerged and they were abundant in the 133 banks. They typically burrow to 6–10 cm depth but can be found at a depth of 2 cm in highly contaminated sediments (Karickhoff and Morris, 1985; Lagauzère et al. 2009). For this study, worm species were not 134 135 identified and only the genus will be considered. The Tubifex organisms were carefully extracted from the 136 sediments using stainless steel tweezers and rinsed with distilled water to remove all organic and 137 inorganic matters. The organisms were then placed into 1.5 mL microtubes and stored at -20°C until MP 138 analysis. In the same way, Corbicula were collected in both site using a rake, then rinsed with distilled

water and stored at -20°C until analysis. For years, the Asian clam (*C. fluminea*) has colonized diverse freshwater ecosystems (Sousa et al. 2008) and is today an exotic invasive species in Europe and other areas of the world. A total of 300 worms and 50 clams were collected. To characterise MP in an upper and essential link of the aquatic trophic chain, viscera of eels (n=6 females) were also analysed to estimate the MP ingestion (biometric data reported in Table S1). Eel guts provided from a professional fisherman who caught the individuals in December 2021, in the Chalonnes-sur-Loire sector, downstream from Angers (Fig.1).

146

Sampling of sediment and water (abiotic matrices)

147 As for the biotic matrices, abiotic (water and sediment) matrices were sampled in May 2022, in 148 both sites. Two types of sediment were sampled: habitat of the collected bivalves and habitat of the 149 collected worms. Visually, the granulometry of sediment where clams were sampled was constituted by 150 coarser grains, while the sediment where worms were sampled was smaller-grained (close to mud). To 151 simplify the understanding of results, sediment samples in which C. fluminea were collected were called 152 sediment, while sediment samples in which Tubifex sp. were collected were referred as mud. For each 153 sampling, three replicates of sediment were collected at 1 meter from each other, retrieved near to the 154 banks and stored at 4°C in aluminium boxes to avoid plastic containers. In addition, 1 L of water was 155 collected directly in the water column and stored in a glass bottle at 4°C.

156

Characterization of MP by microscopy

All biotic and abiotic matrices were analysed under microscope to report the colour (transparent
or colored MP), the size (from 25 μm (detection limit size) to 5 mm) and the shape (fragments, fibers,
films and beads) of suspected MP.

160 Biotic matrices (organisms). The sample treatment procedure for worms and clams was adapted 161 from the protocol published by Revel et al. (2019). Briefly, 6 pools of 3 clams sampled downstream or upstream, as well as 6 pools of 10 worms sampled downstream or upstream were analysed. The clam soft 162 163 tissues and the worm whole body were placed separately in 250 mL beakers precleaned with ethanol 164 absolute (70%) and filled with 5 volumes of the individual pool mass of hydroxide potassium (KOH) 10% 165 for bivalves and 20% for worms. Then, the beakers were covered with aluminium, placed on a heating 166 plate at 60°C with stirring for 24 h to eliminate organic matter. For the eel viscera MP analysis, preliminary 167 tests for sample digestion were conducted based on Biginagwa et al. (2016) and Thiele et al. (2019). 168 Viscera of 6 eels were analysed separately. To facilitate the digestion step, the viscera were cut into pieces 169 and placed into different beakers due to their important mass (Table S1). A volume of 40% KOH equivalent to 10 times the organism mass (m/v) was added into each beaker. Then, the beakers were covered with 170 171 aluminium, placed on a heating plate at 60°C with stirring for 7 days to eliminate organic matter. Once 172 the digestion step finished, the solution was transferred to a 50 mL Falcon tube containing a 1:1 ratio of KOH solution and saturated NaCl solution to extract the lipid layer. The tube was then centrifuged at 6
500 rpm for 15 min, at 4°C. The solid supernatant was removed and immersed in a new NaCl solution.
Another centrifugation (6 500 rpm for 15 min at 4°C) was proceeded before recovering the supernatant,
added with the first one for the filtration step. The filtration procedure for the biotic matrices was the
same as for the abiotic matrices.

Abiotic matrices (sediment and water). The procedure of treatment of sediment samples was 178 179 adapted from the protocol published by Blair et al. (2019). Briefly, samples were dried in an oven at 60°C 180 for 48 h and were sieved (1.6 mm) to remove the larger debris. Six replicates of 20 g of sediment were placed in a 50 mL Falcon tube with 20 mL of saturated NaCl solution. After mixing thoroughly, samples 181 182 were centrifuged (6 500 rpm for 10 min). The supernatant was recovered while the bottom sediment was 183 taken up twice in 20 mL of saturated NaCl to optimize the extraction of all MP. NaCl is often used in the 184 sample treatment for MP microscopic observation. This solution was thus chosen to compare our data 185 with those published in the literature. Then, samples undergo a second centrifugation (6 500 rpm for 10 186 min) and the supernatant was added to the one already recovered, for filtration. Five replicates of 200 187 mL of water were directly filtered without prior digestion step. All samples were filtered under Büchner on a 1.6 µm filter (GF/A 1820-037). Filters were then kept in a Petri dish, precleaned with ethanol absolute 188 189 (70%), until further analysis.

All the filters from the biotic and abiotic matrices were then observed under a binocular
microscope at X40 magnification. The suspected MP were counted and their colour, shape and size were
reported. MP counted on the blank filters, performed for each matrix, were subtracted from the number
of MP counted on associated sample filters. MP found on the blank filters (between 0 and 4 particles per
filter) were mainly fibers (92%) measuring from 300 to 2000 µm, either transparent, black, blue or pink.
The only fragment was grey and measured 100 µm (major dimension).

196

Characterization of MP by µ-FTIR analysis

197 To determine the plastic polymer, sediment, mud, worm and clam samples were analysed using 198 a μ -FTIR. MP in water and in eel viscera were not characterized by μ -FTIR. These matrices considered as 199 moving, a correlation between MP in fish and the ones in water is difficult to establish. 3 pools of 3 clams 200 and 3 pools of 30 worms collected downstream, and 3 pools of 3 clams and 3 pools of 30 worms collected 201 upstream, were analysed.

Biotic matrices (organisms). The MP extraction procedure was based on an adaptation of the
 protocol published by Phuong et al. (2018). Briefly, whole worms and soft tissue of clams were separately
 placed in 100 mL beakers with a volume of 10% KOH equivalent to 10 times the organism mass (m/v). The
 mixture was heated at 45°C under stirring for 24 h for Corbicula and for 76 h for Tubifex. The solutions
 were then filtered through a metallic filter (10 µm cutoff) using a Büchner filtration. The metallic filter
 was immersed in a beaker containing Nal solution and sonicated for 1 minute. As for NaCl used for

microscopy, Nal was chosen for the µ-FTIR method. Most studies used it to proceed a pre-treatment step
followed by a densimetric separation. The Nal solution, after removing and rinsing the filter, was
introduced in a JAMSS display to extract MP for at least 5h. The Nal solution was then filtered on a new
metallic filter and rinsed with distilled water. The solution was sonicated for 1 minute before being filtered
through an Anodisc membrane filters (0.2 µm, 25 mm, Whatman). Finally, all the filters were stored in
closed glass Petri dishes until analysis.

Abiotic matrices (sediment). 3 pools of 10 g of sediments downstream and upstream, and 3 pools of 1 g of mud (more complex matrix than sediment) downstream and upstream were prepared. A three-time successive density separation using JAMSS display was performed and the organic matter digestion was performed with hydrogen-peroxide 30% (Fluka Germany). The solution was then sonicated for 1 minute before being filtered through Anodisc membranes.

For all matrices, μ -FTIR imaging (Thermo Nicolet iZ10) using 25 x 25 μ m pixel resolution was performed for the entire sample *i.e.*, the entire filtration zone of the sample. Acquisition parameters were described in Treilles et al. (2021). The μ -FTIR maps were treated with open siMPle software (v.1.1. β , Primpke et al. 2018) and the library MP_Library_extended_grouped_1_5.txt. The default matching weight of 0.5 for the first derivative of the spectra and 0.5 for the second derivative of the spectra was used, and the AAU pipeline was chosen for data processing. The minimal particle size provided by siMPle is 25 μ m. The maximal plastic sizes provided in the output of the siMPle software were considered.

226 C

Contamination control

227 To prevent cross-contamination, all equipment used in the laboratory was previously rinsed with 228 milliQ water and ethanol, dried at room temperature in a hood and kept under aluminum foil to avoid 229 contact with the ambient air. During the experiments, cotton laboratory coats and nitrile gloves were 230 worn. For both methods (microscope and µ-FTIR analysis), during the digestion, beakers were covered 231 with aluminum foil. After filtration, the filters were stored in closed glass Petri dishes until microscopy 232 and µ-FTIR analysis. Due to the remaining risk of cross-contamination of the samples, blanks were 233 performed in KOH 10%, following the same protocol. Each time a series of digestion was performed, a 234 parallel analysis without organism tissues nor abiotic compartments were realized at the digestion and 235 filtration steps, and microscope and μ -FTIR analysis. Finally, the use of plastic material was avoided at 236 best throughout this experimental study.

237 Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was carried out using R software (version 4.2.2). Normality of the data distribution and homogeneity of variance were tested using the Shapiro-Wilk test and Levene test, respectively. If the data did not meet the conditions for parametric tests, a Kruskal-Wallis test was used to compare the concentration and the characteristics of MP between the matrices analysed at all sites. If 242 the overall test was significant, a Nemenyi test was performed to determine which means were 243 significantly different. If the data followed the conditions for applying the parametric tests, an ANOVA 244 was used to test for differences between the treatments. If the overall test was significant, a Tukey post 245 hoc test was performed to determine which means were significantly different. In all cases, p values \leq 246 0.05 were considered statistically significant. Also, a principal component analysis (PCA) was carried out 247 on data obtained on clams and worms to evaluate the influence of MP characteristics (size, shape and 248 colour) in the study area and to estimate the relationship between MP profiles in sediments, water and 249 organisms. PCA were thus based on a quantitative variable, the maximum size of MP (<0.1 mm, 0.1-0.5250 mm, 0.5-1mm and 1-5mm), and qualitative variables: fragment or fiber, and the colored or non-colored 251 aspect.

252 Results

253 Microplastics were found in all biotic and abiotic samples in all sites. Their levels, measured by 254 binocular microscopy and μ-FTIR were presented Table 1. Levels of MP measured by μ-FTIR were higher 255 than those measured under the microscope, but the trend was similar. All suspected MP were determined 256 as fragments or fibers (Fig.2A). Neither beads nor film were found in the different matrices. MP found in 257 the matrices were categorized into the following size ranges: 1-5 mm; 0.5-1 mm; 0.1-0.5 mm and 0.025 258 mm-0.1 mm (Fig.2B). Diverse colours were observed in the biotic and abiotic matrices (Fig.2C). Finally, 259 different types of plastic were analysed in both, abiotic and biotic matrices (Fig.2D).

260

Microplastic pollution in organisms

261 The average levels (± standard deviation, sd) of MP in Tubifex sp (n=60), C. fluminea (n=18) and 262 A. anguilla (n=6) were expressed as number of particles per individual, and also expressed as number of 263 particles per gram of tissue wet weight (ww) allowing the comparison of the MP levels measured in 264 sediment and water (Table 1). When the MP level is expressed per individual, the lowest value was found 265 in worms followed by clams, while the highest abundance of MP was measured in eel (p < 0.05). When 266 converted into number of particles per gram of tissue ww, MP concentration was higher in worms, 267 followed by clams and finally by eels. The weight of C. fluminea sampled upstream were not significantly 268 different from those collected downstream (p>0.05) (Table S1). However, Tubifex sampled upstream 269 were bigger than those collected downstream (p<0.05) (Table S1). Eel mass was quite similar between 270 the six individuals (Table S1).

In Tubifex sampled upstream, 68.4% of analysed MP were fibers, whereas they represented 39.5% in worms sampled downstream (Fig.2A). At both sites, *C. fluminea* ingested more fragments (65%) than fibers (Fig.2A). On the contrary, fibers were the most dominant shape in fish (69.3%) (Fig.2A). The difference in fibers found in fish was significant with Corbicula sampled downstream. MP measuring between 0.1 and 0.5 mm were dominant in *C. fluminea* collected up- and downstream (60 and 43.2%, respectively), and in eels (42.6%) (Fig.2B). In the upstream Tubifex, the dominant range size of analysed
MP was also 0.1 to 0.5 mm (52.6%), while MP below 0.1 mm were dominant in the downstream worms
(46.5%) (Fig.2B). Fragment and fiber sizes were detailed in the Fig.S1. Plastic particles up to 5 mm,
exclusively fibers, were only found in eel viscera (Fig.2B). Although nonlinear, there was a positive trend
between the size of plastic particles ingested by organisms and the mass of individuals (Fig.S3). Larger
plastic particles were ingested by fish compared to clams and worms (p < 0.05).

282 As shown in Fig.2C, as many transparent (49.9%) as coloured MP were determined in eel viscera. 283 In Corbicula and Tubifex, more coloured (65 – 94.7%) than transparent MP were counted. Percentages of 284 coloured fragments and fibers found in organisms are presented in Fig.S2. Fibers were mainly blue (24% 285 to 64% according to species) followed by pink (between 1% to 15%). Brown, purple, green, grey, black, 286 yellow and red fibers were also found in organisms but in low amount (<8%) (Fig.S2). Colour profiles of 287 the fragments varied according to the organisms and the site (Fig.S2). Considering all the analysed MP, 288 blue was the main colour found in all organisms: 15 and 35% for upstream and downstream Corbicula 289 respectively, 35 and 53% for upstream and downstream worms respectively, and 26% for eels (Fig.2C and Fig.S2). Finally, blue and transparent MP accounted for more than 30% of all plastic particles (Fig.2C). 290 291 Plastic particles analysed by μ -FTIR revealed that PE was the dominant type in the organisms (> 60%), 292 followed by PA (\approx 10%) and by PP (between 1.4 and 28.6% according to the species) (Fig.2D), except in 293 the downstream Tubifex, in which PP was the main plastic (93.1 %) followed by PE (3.4%) and PA (2.3%).

294

MP pollution in sediment and water

295 The highest level of MP was reported in the upstream mud samples, followed by the downstream 296 mud samples, the downstream sediment samples and the upstream sediment samples. While only a few 297 MP were counted per liter of water, more than a hundred MP were observed per kg of sediment (Table 298 1), confirming that the sediment constitutes a sink for MP. However, there was no significant difference 299 between the total number of MP found in the upstream and the downstream abiotic matrices. Generally, 300 as many fragments as fibers were found in the upstream and downstream abiotic matrices (p > 0.05) 301 (Fig.2A), except for the sediment samples, in which more fibers (75%) were found downstream than 302 upstream (25%) (p < 0.05) (Fig.2A). Size of suspected MP analysed in mud, sediment and water was 303 different according to the matrices and location (upstream and downstream) (Fig.2B). For example, in the 304 upstream water, no particle ranging from 0.5 to 1 mm was measured, while the reported MP size range 305 in the downstream water corresponded to particles measuring between 1 to 5 mm. However, at both 306 sites, MP mostly measured between 0.1 and 0.5 mm (36.4 – 70.6%), except for MP found in the 307 downstream sediment samples, ranging more between 1 - 5 mm (43.8%). Particles below 0.1 mm 308 constituted the minimum size range for all abiotic matrices. Fragment and fiber sizes were detailed in the 309 Fig.S1: 95.2% of fragments measured less than 0.5 mm, while 100% of fibers ranged from 0.1 to 5 mm. 310 Transparent MP accounted for 59.1% in the upstream mud, while it represented less than 40% in other

abiotic matrices (Fig.2C). Blue was the dominant colour (14.3 – 37.5%) in the abiotic matrices but various coloured fragments and filaments were also found (0.9 – 6.1% depending to the colour and the matrix) (Fig.2C; Fig.S2). Samples analysed by μ -FTIR revealed that PE was the dominant type in the abiotic matrices (> 50%), followed by PP (between 22.2 and 37.5% depending to the matrix) (Fig.2D).

315

Microplastic patterns in organisms and their habitat

316 Relationship between MP in Tubifex sp. and Corbicula fluminea, and MP in their habitat (mud or 317 sediment) and water was investigated by PCA (Fig. 3). Since the water samples and eels were not collected 318 at the same time, nor from the same site, MP data related to Anguilla anguilla were discarded. Regarding 319 the PCA performed on worms' data (Fig.3A), the two principal components represented 69.10% of total 320 variance: PC1 and PC2 representing 44.41% and 24.69% respectively. PC1 was mostly explained by 321 coloured MP (21.88%) and PC2 by fibers (19.72%). Regarding the PCA performed on clams (Fig.3B), the 322 two principal components represented 72.54% of total variance: PC1 and PC2 representing 50.46% and 323 22.08% respectively. PC1 was mostly explained by the coloured MP (21.00%) and PC2 by the 1-5 mm sized 324 MP (42.19%). In both case, data from the downstream site was more dispersed than those from the 325 upstream site, meaning a more varied profile of MP for the downstream site. Both PCA showed that 326 coloured MP correlated more to fragments, and transparent MP characterised more fibers. They also 327 demonstrated larger size ranges (0.5 to 5 mm) for fibers, while fragments were associated with smaller 328 particles (<0.1 mm). However, the size range of 0.1 to 0.5 mm was not related to fragments nor fibers in 329 Tubifex and only to fragments in Corbicula. Based on the PCA performed with clam data, the 330 characteristics of MP in the upstream clams had a close relationship with those in the upstream sediment 331 and the upstream water. Although widely scattered, characteristics of MP found in downstream clams 332 were more related to water than sediment. MP relationship between worms and mud was not as 333 correlated as that shown for Corbicula with sediment. However, MP characteristics analysed in water 334 were related to those in Tubifex, for both sites.

335 Discussion

336 Freshwater systems are susceptible to anthropogenic impacts, and usually contain higher amount of 337 MP particles than marine environments (Scherer et al. 2018). The investigation of MP pollution in freshwaters is therefore crucial to set up necessary preventive measures and regulations. Yet, studies 338 339 investigating, in combined approaches, the abundance of MP in freshwater biota, sediments and water 340 together are still few. Also, data provided by field campaigns helps to better understand the MP state of 341 contamination in a particular site and their bioaccumulation by organisms. Indeed, studies carried out to 342 estimate MP concentration in wild organisms and in their habitats (sediment or water) are essential as 343 they provide valuable information for further laboratory experiments on biological and ecological effects 344 at realistic exposure conditions. To the best of our knowledge, this in situ work is the first to study levels and characteristics of MP in organisms, water and sediments from the Loire River, the longest French
River, subject to a significant anthropogenic pressure (Wantzen et al. 2023). Although the sampling areas
did not cover a large geographic area, the species selected in this study are representative of freshwater
ecosystems and the inventory of the MP contamination conducted here allows to know the potential
differences between upstream and downstream sites of a city.

350

Influence of anthropogenic activities in microplastic characteristics

A variation in MP concentrations in organisms, sediment and water was found between both sites. 351 352 Overall, even if not significant, the level of MP in the samples was slightly higher in all abiotic and biotic matrices collected downstream than upstream, except the mud. In their study, Vermeiren et al. (2021) 353 354 reported that the average MP abundance was significantly higher at the high anthropogenic site 355 compared to the low impact site due to the human populations considered as a source of plastic debris 356 to the environment and to the proximity with rivers. The city of Angers in our study is crossed by the 357 Maine, a freshwater stream throwing into the Loire River, downstream the town. Since it has been shown 358 that proximity to rivers increases concentrations of MP (Frère et al., 2017; Bancin et al., 2019), this could 359 explain why concentrations of MP are higher downstream than upstream. The higher concentration of 360 MP in the upstream mud compared to the downstream mud in our study may be explained by the 361 granulometry. Although not having measured the grain size of the sampled mud, it was obvious that the 362 upstream mud was composed of finer-grained sediments than the downstream one. Dhivert et al. (2022) 363 demonstrated strong MP levels (on an order of 10⁴ items/kg dry weight) over the section characterized by fine-sized sediments. Vermeiren et al. (2021) also showed results in this sense. The MP abundance, 364 365 measured in the top sediment layer, decreased exponentially with increasing grain size in both sites, one 366 with low anthropogenic impact and one with high impact (Vermeiren et al. 2021). This was corroborated 367 by experimental observations of >100 μ m MP retention near the surface in fine sediments compared to 368 coarser sediments (Waldschläager and Schüttrumpf, 2020). Therefore, based on these results, the higher MP levels in the upstream mud compared to the downstream one in this study may be more related to 369 370 the granulometry than of the location upstream/downstream.

371 In addition, in this study, significantly more fibers were reported in sediments sampled downstream 372 than upstream, whereas no significant differences related to the size of MP or to the colours were 373 reported according to the sites. Browne et al. (2011) showed the presence of fibers at the disposal of 374 wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs). As a consequence, a predominance of fibers is expected in urban 375 areas with large WWTPs (Dris et al. 2018). A substantial wastewater treatment plant is located in Angers 376 (capacity: 257 056 population equivalents (pe), French government website). The discharged water flows 377 into the Maine, then into the Loire. Although smaller, other WWTPs are located along the Loire, near the 378 sampling downstream sites of this study (Chalonnes-sur-Loire: 8 000 pe and Montjean-sur-Loire: 9 000 379 pe) while there are less WWTPs upstream Angers and these ones are smaller (less than 500 pe).

380 Moreover, in both sites, blue and transparent MP were mainly found in organisms and abiotic samples. 381 This is in accordance with other studies focusing on bivalves (Wang et al. 2021; Truchet et al. 2021), 382 aquatic worms (Pagter et al. 2021; Bour et al. 2018) and fish (Sarijan et al., 2019; Turhan et al. 2022). In 383 this study, we reported PE and PP as the two main polymer types, which is the general trend, in the aquatic ecotoxicological studies. Therefore, the colour of particles and the plastic type polymer did not 384 385 depend on the location of the sampling site but to the general anthropic activities. Truchet et al. (2021) 386 also reported that MP found in surface water, sediments, and organisms did not present significant 387 differences between the 3 sampling sites exposed to touristic, agricultural and industrial activities, although presenting different criteria and dynamics (estuary, middle zone of the beaches, and 388 389 lighthouse). All these results suggest that plastic pollution depends on a multitude of processes, not especially the location up or downstream a town. 390

391 Microplastics in organisms and relationship with MP in their habitat

392 In natural environment, the ingestion of MP by organisms depends on a combination of 393 parameters (i.e. size, shape and density of the MP) determining the position of particles in the water 394 column, and hence the availability to animals (Van Cauwenberghe 2015). In this study, Tubifex sp, C. 395 fluminea and A. Anguilla were selected to study in situ MP levels since they are able to ingest particles of 396 various size ranges (Hurley et al. 2017, Su et al. 2018, Kılıç et al. 2022). To note that the level of 397 bioaccumulated MP may be under-estimated as the detection limit is 25 μ m for both methods, not 398 allowing to characterize the smaller MP. Biology of organisms can also be a key factor for the ingestion of 399 MP. For example, the profile of MP found in A. mactroides was different than B. rodriguezii, another 400 bivalve species sampled in same locations (Truchet et al. 2021). While A. mactroides ingested more MP 401 from 1 to 5 mm, B. rodriguezii ingested more MP lower than 0.5 mm. However, as many 1 to 5 mm MP 402 as MP measuring less than 0.5 mm were found in the sediment and in the water. In addition, this study 403 demonstrated that number of suspected fragments and fibers found in individuals increased with 404 organism mass (Fig.S3). This trend might be explained by the fact that the organism ingests MP in a size-405 range related to its mass, but also by the ability of MP to transfer through the food chain (Su et al. 2018). 406 Indeed, ecotoxicological studies reported lower MP concentrations in *Tubifex tubifex* (Hurley et al. 2017), 407 than Corbicula fluminea (Su et al. 2018) or Anguilla anguilla (Kılıç et al. 2022). Hurley et al. (2017) averaged 408 0.8 ± 1.01 MP ingested by Tubifex tubifex, while 0.4-5.0 items were reported per Corbicula fluminea by 409 Su et al. (2018) and 3.8 ± 1.8 per Anguilla anguilla were reported by Kiliç et al. (2022). However, expressed 410 by gram of tissues, Hurley et al. (2017) measured a mean concentration of ingested MP at 129 \pm 65.4 411 particles g⁻¹ tissue against MP concentrations ranging from 56 to 2543 particles kg⁻¹ in the host sediments. 412 In our study, similar concentrations were measured for worms and mud sampled. As the best of our 413 knowledge, the study of Hurley et al. (2017) and our study are the two only in situ works to have used

414 Tubifex worms as a bioindicator to assess a plastic pollution. An improved understanding of the uptake 415 of MP by freshwater polychaetes, a key entry point into the food chain, will be essential to better 416 understand MP trophic transfer (Hurley et al. 2017). In our study, downstream Tubifex accumulated ten 417 times more than upstream organisms, suggesting that anthropogenic activities of Angers may influence 418 the level of MP pollution in organisms. Although not significant, measured MP concentrations in 419 downstream Corbicula and in downstream sediment were twice higher than MP concentrations in the 420 upstream matrices, and those in water were thousand times higher suggesting again an influence of the 421 locations. ACP revealed similarities of MP patterns between sediment, water and Corbicula. From a 422 qualitative point of view, this demonstrated that MP contamination in clams follows the trend of MP 423 contamination in sediment and water. Su et al. (2018) found a significant positive correlation between 424 the abundance of MP in C. fluminea and in the surrounding water and sediment. Abundance and size of 425 particles in organisms, however, were more similar to those in the sediment (Su et al. 2018). Therefore, 426 the authors concluded that C. fluminea is an appropriate bioindicator of MP pollution at a large scale. 427 However, in their study the authors also reported that despite MP in sediments are more similar to those 428 in clams, differences in size, colour and types were reported. As previously mentioned, Tubifex only 429 served once as bioindicator for plastic pollution. It is well known that these freshwater worms are highly 430 tolerant of grossly polluted settings (Wiederholm and Dave, 1989) and are one of the last species present 431 under deteriorating environmental conditions (Milbrink, 1987). Since the entire life cycle of Tubifex take 432 place within sediments, this species could be used as indicator of MP pollution in freshwater streams. A 433 replacer dans le texte : Indeed, this study demonstrated that MP profile in Corbicula was similar to those 434 found in their habitat but MP profile in Tubifex was not related to the sediment where they live in. This 435 result may be explained however by the granulometry of the sampled upstream mud compared to the 436 downstream one, as explained in the previous part.

437 Finally, a comparison between the MP profile in eels in the two sampling sites was not possible as fish 438 were only collected downstream. Since fish are not sedentary organisms, they are not representative of 439 a specific site, as compared to the other bioindicator species analyzed in the present study. However, 440 they are useful indicators of plastic pollution levels of large freshwater streams such as the Loire River. 441 Based on literature, it seems that gut is one of the most relevant organ to assess MP pollution. Lv et al. 442 (2020) showed that MP were mostly found in gut of eel, in lower concentrations in edible parts (head, 443 muscle and bones) and none in internal organs other organs (heart, liver, kidney, bile and gonad). Kilic et 444 al. (2022) showed a statistically different MP abundance in the examined organs of the few fish species 445 and reported a general higher MP abundance in the gastrointestinal tract, coherent to the results of 446 Guilhermino et al. (2021). Moreover, Lv et al. (2020) reported MP size ranges in eel guts similar to the 447 ones measured in the current study (mainly between 0.1 and 1 mm). Therefore, eels fished in the Loire

River might represent a sanitary risk due to a MP contamination, and an assessment of MP abundance inconsumable fish may be considered.

450

50 Complementary methods for plastic pollution monitoring

451 Visual assessment allows to quantify and sort the suspected MP based on their characteristics. 452 Even if a bias in their identification under microscope cannot be excluded due to human subjective 453 perception, shape and colour are two fundamental properties to determinate the environmental dynamic 454 of plastics. Composition of polymers is also important to determine MP profile. Use of analytical analysis 455 by μ -FTIR is therefore a relevant complementary method, allowing to verify the accuracy of visual 456 identification of suspected MP. Since the visual method does not allow to know the plastic composition 457 and the μ -FTIR imaging method does not give the colour of particles, it seems therefore essential to use 458 both techniques for a complete assessment of an *in situ* MP pollution.

459 In addition, even if methods are in place, there is still no standardised protocols in the literature. 460 This lack may create uncertainty for interpretation of results of published articles. For example, units of 461 MP concentrations are not the same according to published papers, making comparison of plastic 462 pollution levels difficult. Differences in analytical protocols can be also highlighted, such as the quantity 463 of abiotic matrix digested which is author-dependant. Some of them used aliquots of 30 g (Li et al. 2021), 50 g (Truchet et al. 2021) or 100 g (Bonifacio et al. 2022) of sediment. We also reported some differences 464 465 in digestion method of biotic samples. Indeed, for MP extraction in fish, some studies used hydrogen 466 peroxide (Atamanalpet al., 2022; Turhan, 2022); while, some others used potassium hydroxide (Merga et 467 al., 2020). Also, studies focusing on bivalves are not homogenous on the number of analysed individuals. 468 For example, Suet al. (2018) analysed three replicates of 2 - 4 Corbicula, while Truchet et al. (2021) 469 analysed three replicates composed each of 10A. mactroides organisms and three replicates of B. 470 rodriguezii composed each of 30 individuals. Moreover, the sediment size or additional processes leading 471 to a plastic contamination are not automatically characterized in studies, which might be accounted when 472 comparing among sites, and for relevantly characterising the plastic pollution in abiotic and biotic 473 matrices.

Therefore, the lack of standardised protocols for the sampling, the analytical analysis and the way of highlight data makes comparison between *in situ* studies difficult. A well-defined theoretical framework is so really needed to summarise and compare global results regarding abundance of MP and understand their spatial and temporal dynamics. This would help to define the sources, to put in place an adequate policy and to compare existing or future data.

479 Conclusion

This investigation work provided a global insight into MP contamination of the Loire River. All theorganisms sampled in this study bioaccumulated MP. The related abiotic matrices, the sediment and the

- 482 water where they live, revealed also an occurrence of MP. The profile of MP found in Corbicula was similar
- to the one found in its habitat while the MP profiles in Tubifex and in their habitat were not statistically
- 484 correlated. Overall, the characteristics of the analysed plastic particles were different according to the
- 485 matrices and the sites, and no generality can be made. This study contributed to the knowledge of the
- 486 MP pollution levels in freshwater compartments, and data might be helpful to conduct laboratory
- 487 experiment carried out under realistic ecological conditions.

488 Acknowledgments

489 We acknowledge. Angers Loire Metropole which funded the post doc position of this project.

490 References

- 491 Aalto, E., Capoccioni, F., Terradez Mas, J., et al. 2016. Quantifying 60 years of declining European eel
- 492 (Anguilla anguilla L., 1758) fishery yields in Mediterranean coastal lagoons. ICES. 73, 101–110.
 493 https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsv084.
- Alimi, O.S., Farner Budarz, J., Hernandez, L.M., Tufenkji, N. 2018. Microplastics and nanoplastics in aquatic
 environments: aggregation, deposition, and enhanced contaminant transport. Environ. Sci. Technol.
 52, 1704–1724. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b05559
- 497 Andersson, J., Florin, A.-B., Petersson, E., 2012. Escapement of eel (Anguilla anguilla) in coastal areas in
 498 Sweden over a 50-year period. ICES. 69, 991–999. https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fss094.
- 499 Atamanalp, M., Köktürk, M., Parlak, V., Ucar, A., Arslan, G., Alak, G., 2022. A new record for the presence
 500 of microplastics in dominant fish species of the Karasu River Erzurum, Turkey. Environ. Sci. Pollut.
 501 Control Ser. 29, 7866–7876. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-021-16243-w/Published.
- Atamanalp, M., Köktürk, M., Uçar, A., Duyar, H.A., Özdemir, S., Parlak, V., Esenbuğa, N., Alak, G. 2021.
 Microplastics in tissues (brain, gill, muscle and gastrointestinal) of Mullus barbatus and Alosa
 immaculata. Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 81, 460–469. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00244-021-008855.
- Bancin, L.J., Walther, B.A., Lee, Y.C., Kunz, A. 2019. Two-dimensional distribution and abundance of microand mesoplastic pollution in the surface sediment of Xialiao Beach, New City, Taiwan. Mar. Pollut. Bull.
 140, 75–85. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2019.01.028
- 509 Bayo, J.; Olmos, S.; López-Castellanos, J. 2020. Microplastics in an urban wastewater treatment plant: The
 510 influence of physicochemical parameters and environmental factors. Chemosphere 238, 124593.
 511 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.124593
- 512 Biginagwa, F. J.; Mayoma, B. S.; Shashoua, Y.; Syberg, K.; Khan, F. R. 2016. First evidence of microplastics
- in the African Great Lakes: Recovery from Lake Victoria Nile perch and Nile tilapia. J. Great Lakes Res.
 42, 146–149. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jglr.2015.10.012
- Blair, R. M., Waldron, S., Phoenix, V. R., & Gauchotte-Lindsay, C. 2019. Microscopy and elemental analysis
 characterisation of microplastics in sediment of a freshwater urban river in Scotland, UK. Environmental
 Science and Pollution Research, 26, 12491-12504. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-019-04678-1
- 518 Boening, D.W. 1999. An evaluation of bivalves as biomonitors of heavy metals pollution in marine waters.
 519 Environ. Monit. Assess. 55, 459-470. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1005995217901
- Bonifacio, P.S.P., Metillo, E.B. & Romano, E.F. 2022. Microplastic in Sediments and Ingestion Rates in
 Three Edible Bivalve Mollusc Species in a Southern Philippine Estuary. Water Air Soil Pollut 233, 455.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11270-022-05926-w
- Bour, A., Avio, C.G., Gorbi, S., Regoli, F., Hylland, K. 2018. Presence of microplastics in benthic and
 epibenthic organisms: influence of habitat, feeding mode and trophic level. Environ. Pollut. 243: 1217–
 1225. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. envpol.2018.09.115.
 - 15

- 526 Brennecke, D., Ferreira, E.C., Costa, T.M.M., Appel, D., da Gama, B.A.P., Lenz, M. 2015. Ingested
 527 microplastics (>100 μm) are translocated to organs of the tropical fiddler crab Uca rapax. Mar. Pollut.
 528 Bull. 96 (1–2), 491–495. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2015.05.001
- Browne, M. A.; Dissanayake, A.; Galloway, T. S.; Lowe, D. M.; Thompson, R. C. 2008. Ingested Microscopic
 Plastic Translocates to the Circulatory System of the Mussel, Mytilus edulis (L.). Environ. Sci. Technol. 42
 (13), 5026–5031. https://doi.org/10.1021/es800249a
- Browne MA, Crump P, Niven SJ, Teuten E, Tonkin A, Galloway T et al. 2011. Accumulation of microplastic
 on shorelines worldwide: sources and sinks. Environ Sci Technol 45:9175–9179
- 534 Chapman, P. M. 2001. Utility and relevance of aquatic oligochaetes in ecological risk assessment. In
 535 Aquatic Oligochaete Biology VIII; Springer. 149–169.
- 536 Cole, M.; Lindeque, P.; Fileman, E.; Halsband, C.; Galloway, T.S. 2015. The Impact of Polystyrene
 537 Microplastics on Feeding, Function and Fecundity in the Marine Copepod Calanus helgolandicus.
 538 Environ. Sci. Technol. 49 (2), 1130–1137. https://doi.org/10.1021/es504525u
- 539 Dekker, W., Pawson, M., Wickström, H. 2007. Is there more to eels than slime? An introduction to papers
 540 presented at the ICES Theme Session in September 2006. ICES (Int. Counc. Explor. Sea) J. Mar. Sci. 64,
 541 1366–1367. https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsm129
- 542 Dhivert, E., Phuong, N.N., Mourier, B., Grosbois, C., Gasperi, J. 2022. Microplastic trapping in dam
 543 reservoirs driven by complex hydrosedimentary processes (Villerest Reservoir, Loire River, France).
 544 Water Research. 225, 119187. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2022.119187
- 545 Dris, R., Gasperi, J., Tassin, B. 2018. Sources and Fate of Microplastics in Urban Areas: A Focus on Paris
 546 Megacity. In: Wagner, M., Lambert, S. (eds) Freshwater Microplastics. The Handbook of Environmental
 547 Chemistry, vol 58. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-61615-5_4
- 548 Drouineau, H., Durif, C., Castonguay, M., Mateo, M., Rochard, E., Verreault, G., Yokouchi, K., Lambert, P.
 549 2018. Freshwater eels: a symbol of the effects of global change. Fish Fish. 19, 903–930.
 550 https://doi.org/10.1111/faf.12300
- 551 Duis, K., Coors, A. 2016. Microplastics in the aquatic and terrestrial environment: sources (with a specific
 552 focus on personal care products), fate and effects. Environ Sci Eur 28, 2.
 553 https://doi.org/10.1186/s12302-015-0069-y
- 554 Dusaucy, J.; Gateuille, D.; Perrette, Y.; Naffrechoux, E. 2021. Microplastic pollution of worldwide lakes.
 555 Environ. Pollut. 284: 117075. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2021.117075
- Farrell, P.; Nelson, K. 2013. Trophic level transfer of microplastic: Mytilus edulis (L.) to Carcinus maenas
 (L.). Environ. Pollut. 177,1–3. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2013.01.046
- Ferreira, I., Venâncio, C., Lopes, I., Oliveira, M. 2019. Nanoplastics and marine organisms: what has been studied? Environ. Toxicol. Pharmacol. 67: 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.etap.2019.01.006
- 560Frenchgovernmentwebsite :https://www.assainissement.developpement-561durable.gouv.fr/PortailAC/fiche-0449007S0002
- 562 Frère, L., Paul-Pont, I., Rinnert, E., Petton, S., Jaffré, J., Bihannic, I., Soudant, P., Lambert, C., Huvet, A.
- 563 2017. Influence of environmental and anthropogenic factors on the composition, concentration and
 564 spatial distribution of microplastics: a case study of the Bay of Brest (Brittany, France). Environ. Pollut.
 565 225, 211–222. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.03.023
- Frias JPGL, Lyashevska O, Joyce H, Pagter E, Nash R. 2020. Floating microplastics in a coastal embayment:
 A multifaceted issue. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 158, 111361. doi: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2020.111361
- Guilhermino, L., Martins, A., Lopes, C., Raimundo, J., Vieira, L.R., Barboza, L.G.A., Costa, J., Antunes, C.,
 Caetano, M., Vale, C. 2021. Microplastics in fishes from an estuary (Minho River) ending into the NE
 Atlantic Ocean. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 173 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2021.113008
- 571 Habib, R.; Thiemann, T.; Kendi, R. 2020. Microplastics and Wastewater Treatment Plants—A Review. J.
 572 Water Resour. Prot. 12: 1–35. https://doi.org/10.4236/jwarp.2020.121001
- 573 Huntington, A. et al. 2020. A first assessment of microplastics and other anthropogenic particles in
 574 Hudson Bay and the surrounding eastern Canadian Arctic waters of Nunavut. FACETS 5, 432–454
- 575 Hurley, R.R., Woodward, J.C., Rothwell, J.J. 2017. Ingestion of microplastics by freshwater tubifex worms.
- 576 Environ. Sci. Technol. 51: 12844-12851. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b03567
- 577 INSEE, 2019. https://www.insee.fr/fr/statistiques/1405599?geo=COM-49007

578 IUNC, 2022. https://ec.europa.eu/oceans-and-fisheries/ocean/marine-biodiversity/eel_en, accessed on
 579 April 2022

Jabeen, K.; Su, L.; Li, J.; Yang, D.; Tong, C.; Mu, J.; Shi, H. 2017. Microplastics and mesoplastics in fish from
coastal and fresh waters of China. Environ. Pollut. 221, 141–149.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2016.11.055

Jacoby, D.M.P., Casselman, J.M., Crook, V., DeLucia, M.-B., Ahn, H., Kaifu, K., Gollock, M.J. 2015.
Synergistic patterns of threat and the challenges facing global anguillid eel conservation. Global Ecol.
Conserv. 4, 321–333. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.gecco.2015.07.009

- 586 Karickhoff, S. W.; Morris, K. R. 1985. Impact of tubificid oligochaetes on pollutant transport in bottom
 587 sediments. Environ. Sci. Technol. 19 (1), 51–56.
- 588 Kılıç E, Yücel N, Mübarek Şahutoğlu S. 2022. First record of microplastic occurence at the commercial fish
 589 from Orontes River. Environ Pollut. 15;307:119576. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2022.119576
- Lagauzère, S.; Terrail, R.; Bonzom, J.-M. 2009. Ecotoxicity of uranium to Tubifex tubifex worms (Annelida,
 Clitellata, Tubificidae) exposed to contaminated sediment. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf. 72 (2), 527–537.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2008.04.021
- Li, J., Liu, H., Paul Chen, J. 2018. Microplastics in freshwater systems: a review on occurrence,
 environmental effects, and methods for microplastics detection. Water Res. 137, 362-374.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2017.12.056
- Li, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Chen, G.; Xu, K.; Gong, H.; Huang, K.; Yan, M.; Wang, J. 2021. Microplastics in Surface
 Waters and Sediments from Guangdong Coastal Areas, South China. *Sustainability*. *13*, 2691.
 https://doi.org/10.3390/su13052691
- Lu, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Deng, Y.; Jiang, W.; Zhao, Y.; Geng, J.; Ding, L.; Ren, H. 2016. Uptake and Accumulation
 of Polystyrene Microplastics in Zebrafish (Danio rerio) and Toxic Effects in Liver. Environ. Sci. Technol.
 50 (7), 4054–4060. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b00183
- Lusher, A. L., Tirelli, V., O'Connor, I., Officer, R. 2015. Microplastics in Arctic polar waters: the first reported
 values of particles in surface and sub-surface samples. Sci. Rep. 5, 14947. DOI: 10.1038/srep14947
- 604 Lv, W., Yuan, Q., He, D., Lv, W., & Zhou, W. 2020. Microplastic contamination caused by different rearing
 605 modes of Asian swamp eel (Monopterus albus). Aquaculture Research, 51(12), 5084-5095.
 606 https://doi.org/10.1111/are.14847
- 607 Managing Rivers Wisely, WWF: https://wwfint.awsassets.panda.org/downloads/mrwloirecasestudy.pdf
- 608 Menéndez, D., Álvarez, A., Acle, S., Peón, P., Ardura, A., & Garcia-Vazquez, E. 2022. Microplastics across
 609 biomes in diadromous species. Insights from the critically endangered Anguilla anguilla. Environmental
 610 Pollution, 305, 119277. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2022.119277
- 611 Merga, L.B., Redondo-Hasselerharm, P.E., van den Brink, P.J., Koelmans, A.A. 2020. Distribution of
 612 microplastic and small macroplastic particles across four fish species and sediment in an African lake.
 613 Sci. Total Environ. 741 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140527
- 614 Milbrink, G. 1987. Biological characterization of sediments by standardized tubificid bioassays.
 615 Hydrobiologia. 155 (1), 267–275.
- Mosleh, Y. Y.; Paris-Palacios, S.; Biagianti-Risbourg, S. 2006. Metallothioneins induction and antioxidative
 response in aquatic worms Tubifex tubifex (Oligochaeta, Tubificidae) exposed to copper. Chemosphere.
 64 (1), 121–128. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2005.10.045
- Oliveira, M., Almeida, M., Miguel, I. 2019. A micro(nano)plastic boomerang tale: a never ending story?
 TrAC Trends Anal. Chem. 112: 196–200. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2019.01.005
- 621 Pagter E, Nash R, Frias J, Kavanagh F. 2021. Assessing microplastic distribution within infaunal benthic
 622 communities in a coastal embayment. Sci. Total. Environ. 791, 148278.
 623 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.148278
- 624 Peters, C. A.; Bratton, S. P. 2016. Urbanization is a major influence on microplastic ingestion by sunfish in 625 the Brazos River Basin, Central Texas, USA. Environ. Pollut. 210, 380-387. 626 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2016.01.018
- Phuong, N. N., Zalouk-Vergnoux, A., Kamari, A., Mouneyrac, C., Amiard, F., Poirier, L., Lagarde F. 2018.
 Quantification and characterization of microplastics in blue mussels (Mytilus edulis): protocol setup and

- preliminary data on the contamination of the French Atlantic coast. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. Int. 25,6135-6144. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-017-8862-3
- 631 PlasticsEurope (2021) Plastics-the fact 2021: an analysis of European plastics production, demand and
 632 waste data https://plasticseurope.org/knowledge-hub/plastics-the-facts-2021/
- Qu H, Ma R, Barrett H, Wang B, Han J, Wang F, Chen P, Wang W, Peng G, Yu G. 2020. How microplastics affect chiral illicit drug methamphetamine in aquatic food chain? From green alga (Chlorella pyrenoidosa) to freshwater snail (Cipangopaludian cathayensis). Environ Int. 136: 105480.
 https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.envint.2020.105480.
- Revel, M., Lagarde, F., Perrein-Ettajani, H., Bruneau, M., Akcha, F., Sussarellu, R., Rouxel, J., Costil, K.,
 Decottignies, P., Cognie, B., Châtel, A., Mouneyrac, C. 2019. Tissue-specific biomarker responses in the
 blue mussel Mytilus spp. exposed to a mixture of microplastics at environmentally relevant
 concentrations. Front. Environ. Sci. 7, 1–14. https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2019.00033
- 641 Santana, M.F.M., Moreira, F.T., Turra, A. 2017. Trophic transference of microplastics under a low exposure
 642 scenario: insights on the likelihood of particle cascading along marine food-webs. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 121,
 643 154-159. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2017.05.061
- 644 Sarijan, S., Azman, S., Said, M.I.M., Lee, M.H. 2019. Ingestion of microplastics by commercial fish in skudai
 645 river, Malaysia. Environ. Asia 12 (3), 75–84. https://doi.org/10.14456/ea.2019.47
- Scherer, C., Weber, A., Lambert, S., Wagner, M. 2018. Interactions of Microplastics with Freshwater Biota.
 In: Wagner, M., Lambert, S. (eds) Freshwater Microplastics. The Handbook of Environmental Chemistry,
 vol 58. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-61615-5_8
- 649 Sendra M, Sparaventi E, Novoa B, Figueras A. 2021. An overview of the internalization and effects of
 650 microplastics and nanoplastics as pollutants of emerging concern in bivalves. Sci Total Environ.
 651 753:142024. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.142024
- Sousa, R., Antunes, C., Guilhermino, L. 2008. Ecology of the invasive Asian clam Corbicula fluminea
 (Müller, 1774) in aquatic ecosystems: an overview. Ann. Limnol. Int. J. Limnol. 44, 85–94.
 https://doi.org/10.1051/limn:2008017
- Su, L., Cai, H., Kolandhasamy, P., Wu, C., Rochman, C. M., & Shi, H. 2018. Using the Asian clam as an
 indicator of microplastic pollution in freshwater ecosystems. Environmental pollution, 234, 347-355.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.11.075
- Thiele, C. J., Hudson, M. D., & Russell, A. E. 2019. Evaluation of existing methods to extract microplastics
 from bivalve tissue: Adapted KOH digestion protocol improves filtration at single digit pore size. Marine
 pollution bulletin, 142, 384-393.
- Treilles, R., Gasperi, J., Gallard, A., Saad, M., Dris, R., Partibane, C., ... & Tassin, B. 2021. Microplastics and
 microfibers in urban runoff from a suburban catchment of Greater Paris. Environmental Pollution, 287,
 117352. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2021.117352
- Truchet, D. M., López, A. F., Ardusso, M. G., Rimondino, G. N., Buzzi, N. S., Malanca, F. E., ... & Severini,
 M. F. 2021. Microplastics in bivalves, water and sediments from a touristic sandy beach of Argentina.
 Marine Pollution Bulletin, 173, 113023. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2021.113023
- 667 Turhan, D.O. 2022. Evaluation of microplastics in the surface water, sediment and fish of sürgü dam 668 (Malatya) Turk. J. Fish. (Special reservoir in Turkey. Aquat. Sci. 22 lssue) 669 https://doi.org/10.4194/TRJFAS20157
- 670 Uddin, S.; Fowler, S.W.; Uddin, M.F.; Behbehani, M.; Naji, A. 2021. A review of microplastic distribution in
 671 sediment profiles. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 163, 111973. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2021.111973
- 672 Van Cauwenberghe, L.; Devriese, L.; Galgani, F.; Robbens, J.; Janssen, C.R. 2015. Microplastics in
 673 sediments: A review of techniques, occurrence and effects. Mar. Environ. Res. 111: 5–17.
 674 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2015.06.007
- 675 Vermeiren, P., Lercari, D., Mu, C.C., Jorge-romero, G., Defeo, O. 2021. Sediment grain size determines
 676 microplastic exposure landscapes for sandy. Environ. Pollut. 286, 117308
 677 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2021.117308
- 678 Wagner, M., Scherer, C., Alvarez-Mu~noz, D., Brennholt, N., Bourrain, X., Buchinger, S., Fries, E., Grosbois,
- 679 C., Klasmeier, J., Marti, T., Rodriguez-Mozaz, S., Urbatzka, R., Vethaak, A.D., Winther-Nielsen, M.,

- Reifferscheid, G. 2014. Microplastics in freshwater ecosystems: what we know and what we need to
 know. Environ. Sci. Eur. 26, 1-9. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12302-014-0012-7
- 682 Waldschläager, K., Schüttrumpf, H. 2020. Infiltration Behavior of Microplastic Particles with Different
- 683 Densities, Sizes, and Shapes—From Glass Spheres to Natural Sediments Environ. Sci. Technol. 54, 9366–
 684 9373. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c01722
- 685 Waller CL, Griffiths HJ, Waluda CM, Thorpe SE, Loaiza I, Moreno B, Pacherres CO, Hughes KA. 2017.
 686 Microplastics in the Antarctic marine system: An emerging area of research. Sci Total Environ. 598:
 687 220-227. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.03.283
- Wang F, Wu H, Wu W, Wang L, Liu J, An L, Xu Q. 2021. Microplastic characteristics in organisms of different
 trophic levels from Liaohe Estuary, China. Sci Total Environ. 789, 148027.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.148027.
- Wantzen, K.M.; Berton, J.-P.; Boisneau, C.; Greulich, S.; Marmiroli, B.; Rodrigues, S. 2023: The Loire A
 Cultural and Environmental Exception in Europe. In: Wantzen, K.M. (ed.): River Culture Life as a Dance
 to the Bhythm of the Waters. Dp. COD. 721, UNESCO Publishing, Devis. DOI: 10.546774/WED8227
- to the Rhythm of the Waters. Pp. 699–721. UNESCO Publishing, Paris. DOI: 10.54677/VNTD8327
 Welden, N. A. C.; Cowie, P. R. 2016. Long-term microplastic retention causes reduced body condition in
- 695 the langoustine, Nephrops norvegicus. Environ. Pollut. 218, 895–900.
 696 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2016.08.020
- 697 Wiederholm, T.; Dave, G. 1989. Toxicity of metal polluted sediments to Daphnia magna and Tubifex
- **698** tubifex. Hydrobiologia. 176–177 (1), 411–417.